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Abstract. This paper deals with Protter problems for Keldysh type equations in $\mathbb{R}^4$. Originally such type problems are formulated by M. Protter for equations of Tricomi type. Now it is well known that Protter problems for mixed type equations of the first kind are ill-posed and for smooth right-hand side functions they have singular generalized solutions. In the present paper Protter problem for equations of second kind (Keldysh type) is formulated and it is shown that in the frame of classical solvability this problem is not well posed. Further, a notion for a generalized solution in suitable functional space is given. Results for existence and uniqueness of generalized solution of the considered problem are obtained. Some a priori estimates are stated.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present paper we consider some boundary value problems for the Keldysh type equation ($m \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 < m < 2$):

\[ L_m[u] \equiv u_{x_1x_1} + u_{x_2x_2} + u_{x_3x_3} - (t^mu_t) = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, t), \tag{1} \]

expressed in Cartesian coordinates $(x, t) = (x_1, x_2, x_3, t) \in \mathbb{R}^4$ in a simply connected region

\[ \Omega_m := \left\{ (x, t) : t > 0, \frac{2}{2-m}t^{\frac{2-m}{2}} < \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} < 1 - \frac{2}{2-m}t^{\frac{2-m}{2}} \right\}, \]

bounded by the ball $\Sigma_0 := \left\{ (x, t) : t = 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} < 1 \right\}$, centered at the origin $O = (0, 0, 0, 0)$ and two characteristic surfaces of equation (1)

\[ \Sigma_1^m := \left\{ (x, t) : t > 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} = 1 - \frac{2}{2-m}t^{\frac{2-m}{2}} \right\}, \quad \Sigma_2^m := \left\{ (x, t) : t > 0, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} = \frac{2}{2-m}t^{\frac{2-m}{2}} \right\}. \]

We are interested in finding sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of a generalized solution of Problem PK. Find a solution to equation (1) in $\Omega_m$ that satisfies the boundary conditions

\[ u|_{\Sigma_0} = 0; \quad t^mu_t \to 0, \text{ as } t \to +0. \]

The adjoint problem to PK is as follows:
Problem PK*: Find a solution to the self-adjoint equation (1) in $\Omega_m$ that satisfies the boundary conditions

$$u|_{\Sigma_m^{\infty}} = 0; \quad t^m u_t \to 0, \text{as} \ t \to +0.$$  

The problems PK and PK* can be considered as analogues of so called Protter problems for Tricomi type equations. About sixty years ago Murray Protter [37, 38] proposed a multidimensional analogue of classical 2-D Guderley-Morawetz problem for the Gellerstedt equation of hyperbolic-elliptic type. Actually the Guderley-Morawetz problem models flows around airfoils and it is well studied (see Lax and Phillips [20] and Morawetz [26, 27]). However, its multidimensional analogue - the Protter-Morawetz problem is rather different and even now, there is no general understanding of the situation. Even the question of well posedness is not completely resolved. Differences with the 2-D BVPs are illustrated by the related Protter problems in the hyperbolic part of the domain also formulated in [37] for degenerating hyperbolic equations of the first kind in $\mathbb{R}^4 (m \in \mathbb{R}, m > 0)$:

$$t^m[u_{x_1 x_1} + u_{x_2 x_2} + u_{x_3 x_3}] - u_{tt} = f(x_1, x_2, x_3, t).$$  

M. Protter considered the equation (2) in the domain

$$\tilde{\Omega}_m := \left\{ (x_1, x_2, x_3, t) : \ t > 0, \ \frac{2}{m+2} t^{m+1/2} < \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} < 1 - \frac{2}{m+2} t^{m+1/2} \right\},$$

bounded by the ball $\Sigma_0$ and two characteristics surfaces of (2):

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_1^m = \left\{ t > 0, \ \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} = 1 - \frac{2}{m+2} t^{m+1/2} \right\}, \quad \tilde{\Sigma}_2^m = \left\{ t > 0, \ \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} = \frac{2}{m+2} t^{m+1/2} \right\}.$$

The homogeneous boundary conditions are prescribed on a characteristic surface and on the non-characteristic part of the boundary:

**Protter problems.** Find a solution of the equation (2) in $\tilde{\Omega}_m$ with one of the following boundary conditions

- $P1$ : $u|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_1^m} = 0, \quad P1^* : \ u|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_1^m} = 0$
- $P2$ : $u|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_2^m} = 0, \quad P2^* : \ u|_{\tilde{\Sigma}_2^m} = 0, \ u_t|_{\Sigma_0} = 0.$

The boundary conditions $P1^*$ (respectively $P2^*$) are the adjoint boundary conditions to $P1$ (respectively $P2$) for (2). It turns out that in Tricomi case there are two boundary conditions in each of problems $P1$ and $P2$, while in Keldysh case they reduced to only one condition given on the characteristic $\Sigma_1^m$. The condition $t^m u_t \to 0$ as $t \to +0$ in problem PK means that the derivative $u_t$ can have singularity on the parabolic boundary, while in problem $P2$ in Tricomi case this derivative is zero on $\Sigma_0$.

Actually the Protter problems are multidimensional analogues of the plane Darboux or Cauchy-Goursat problems. It is interesting that in contrast to 2-D case the multidimensional problems are not well posed. P. Garabedian [9] proved uniqueness of classical solution to the problem $P1$ for the wave equation (i.e. the equation (2) with $m = 0$).

A. Aziz and M. Schneider [2] prove uniqueness of generalized solution in more complicated case of Frankl-Morawetz problem for mixed-type equations of first kind in $\mathbb{R}^3$. N. Popivanov and M. Schneider [36] showed that the 3-D homogeneous problems $P1^*$ and $P2^*$ have an infinite number of linearly independent nontrivial classical solutions. This means that there are infinitely many orthogonality conditions on the right-hand side function for classical solvability of problems $P1$ and $P2$. That is the reason for introducing of generalized solutions of these problems. In [14, 36] uniqueness of generalized solutions of three-dimensional problems $P1$ and $P2$ is proved and existence of singular solutions of these problems even for smooth right-hand side functions is obtained. The behavior of singular solutions to 3-D Protter problem $P1$ is studied in [35]. The existence of bounded or unbounded solutions for equations of Tricomi type is considered in [1, 8, 18, 30, 31]. Tricomi type problems for the Lavrent’ev- Bitsadze equation are investigated in [17, 25, 40].

On the other hand different models in plasma physics, transonic flows and optics are described by various boundary value problems for equations of Keldysh type [5, 6, 33]. So it would be interesting to study Protter and Protter-Morawetz problems for Keldysh type equations and try to find new effects that can appear and their applications in real processes. Various statements of problems for mixed type equations of the first and the second kind can be found in O. Oleinik, E. Radkevič [32], A. Nakhushev [28] and T. Otway [33]. For different statements of multidimensional Darboux type problems or some connected with them Protter-Morawetz problems for mixed type equations
see [3, 7, 19, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 34, 39]. Existence and uniqueness of generalized solutions to problem \( PK \) in \( \mathbb{R}^3 \) are discussed in [11, 12, 15] and some singular generalized solutions are announced in [13].

In this paper we firstly show that (3+1)-D problem \( PK \) is not correctly set. Following [15] we give definitions of classical solutions of problems \( PK \) and \( PK^* \):

**Definition 1** We call a function \( u \in C^2(\Omega_m) \cap C(\partial \Omega_m) \) a classical solution to Problem \( PK \) if \( u(x, t) \) satisfies the equation \( L_m u = f \) in \( \Omega_m \), the boundary condition \( u|_{\partial \Omega_m} = 0 \), and \( r^m u_t \to 0 \) as \( t \to +0 \).

**Definition 2** We call a function \( v \in C^2(\Omega_m) \cap C(\partial \Omega_m) \) a classical solution to Problem \( PK^* \) if \( v(x, t) \) satisfies the equation \( L_m v = g \) in \( \Omega_m \), the boundary condition \( v|_{\partial \Omega_m} = 0 \), and \( r^m v_t \to 0 \) as \( t \to +0 \).

We find some nontrivial classical solutions of homogeneous problem \( PK^* \) that are connected with the following functions:

\[
E_{k,m}^n(|x|, t) := \sum_{i=0}^{k} A_i^n(|x|)^{-n+2i-1} \left( \frac{4}{(2-m)^2 - t^2} \right)^{n-k-i-\frac{m}{2-m}},
\]

where \( k, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \), \( |x| = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} \) and the coefficients are:

\[
A_i^n := (-1)^i (k-i+1)_i (n-k-i+(4-3m)(4-2m))_i / (i! (n+1/2-1)_i).
\]

Here and farther we use the notations \( (a)_i = \Gamma(a+i)/\Gamma(a) \), where \( \Gamma \) is the Gamma function of Euler. For \( i \in \mathbb{N} \) \((a)_i = a(a+1)_i \ldots (a+i-1)_i, (a)_0 = 1\).

To construct classical solutions of Problem \( PK^* \) we use the three-dimensional spherical functions \( Y_n^s(x) \) with \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots; s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n+1 \). \( Y_n^s(x) \) are defined usually on the unit sphere \( S^2 := \{(x_1, x_2, x_3): x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\} \).

Recall that \( Y_n^s \) form a complete orthonormal system in \( L_2(S^2) \) (see [16]).

For convenience of discussions that follow, we extend the spherical functions out of \( S^2 \) radially, keeping the same notation for the extended functions \( Y_n^s(x) := Y_n^s(x/|x|) \) for \( x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \).

**Lemma 1** For all \( m \in \mathbb{R}, 0 < m < 2, k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, n \geq N(m, k) \) an integer and \( s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n+1 \), the functions

\[
v_{k,m}^{n,s}(x, t) := E_{k,m}^n(|x|, t) Y_n^s(x)
\]

are classical smooth solutions of the homogeneous problem \( PK^* \) for the equation (1).

Lemma 1 shows that a necessary condition for the existence of classical solution for the problem \( PK \) is the orthogonality of the right-hand side function \( f(x, t) \) to all functions \( v_{k,m}^{n,s}(x, t) \).

We mention here that in the case \( 0 < m < 1 \) problem \( PK \) for the Keldysh type equation (1) can be formally reduced to the problem \( P2 \) for the Tricomi type equation (2) with right-hand side function, which vanishes on \( \Sigma_\Omega \). That implies many differences between investigation of the obtained problem and usual Proter problem \( P2 \). However, in this paper we study problem (3+1)-D Proter problem \( PK \) in the more general case when \( 0 < m < 4/3 \). To avoid an infinite number of necessary conditions in the frame of classical solvability, we give a notion of a generalized solution to problem \( PK \) which can have some singularity at the point \( O \). In order to deal successfully with the encountered difficulties we introduce the region

\[
\Omega_{m,e} := \Omega_m \cap \left\{ \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} > \frac{2}{2-m} t_\epsilon^{2/m} \right\}, \quad \epsilon \in [0, 1),
\]

bounded by \( \Sigma_0, \Sigma_1^m \) and

\[
\Sigma_2^m := \left\{ (x, t) : 0 < t < t_\epsilon, \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2} = \frac{2}{2-m} t_\epsilon^{2/m} \right\},
\]

where \( t_\epsilon := \left[ \frac{2-m}{4} (1-\epsilon) \right]^{\frac{1}{2-m}} \).

Investigation of the problem \( PK \) when \( 0 < m < 4/3 \) leads to the following definition of generalized solution.
Definition 3  We call a function \( u(x, t) \) a generalized solution of problem PK in \( \Omega_m, \ 0 < m < \frac{4}{7} \), for equation (1) if:

1. \( u, u_{x_j}, \in C(\tilde{\Omega}_m \setminus \partial \Omega), \ j = 1, 2, 3, \ u_t \in C(\tilde{\Omega}_m \setminus \Sigma_0) ; \)
2. For each \( \varepsilon \in (0, 1) \) there exists a constant \( C(\varepsilon) > 0 \), such that in \( \Omega_{m,\varepsilon} \)
\[
|u(x, t)| \leq C(\varepsilon) \left(1 - \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - \frac{2}{2-m}} \right), \quad |u_t(x, t)| \leq C(\varepsilon) t^{-\frac{m}{2}} ;
\]
3. The identity
\[
\int_{\Omega_m} \{ f''u_{t}v_t - u_{x_i}v_{x_i} - u_{x_j}v_{x_j} - u_{x_1}v_{x_1} - f(v) \} dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dt = 0
\]
holds for all \( v \) from
\[
V_m := \left\{ v(x, t) : v, v_{x_j} \in C(\tilde{\Omega}_m), \ j = 1, 2, 3, \ v_t \in C(\tilde{\Omega}_m \setminus \Sigma_0), \ |v_t| \leq c t^{-\frac{m}{2}}, \ v \equiv 0 \text{ in a neighbourhood of } \Sigma_2^m \right\},
\]
\( c = \text{const} > 0 \) dependent on \( v(x, t) \).

Remark 1  It is interesting that in Tricomi case all the first derivatives of generalized solutions can have singularity on the boundary of the domain (see [14], [30], [36]). While in Keldysh case, according to Definition 3 the derivative \( u_t \) can be unbounded on \( \Sigma_0 \), but the derivatives \( u_{x_j}, j = 1, 2, 3 \) are bounded in each \( \tilde{\Omega}_{m,\varepsilon}, \ \varepsilon > 0 \).

The present paper is organized in Introduction and three more sections. When the right-hand side function \( f(x, t) \) of equation (1) is fixed as a harmonic polynomial of order \( l \) with \( l \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \) and having the representation:
\[
f(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} f_n^j(|x|, t) Y_n^j(x),
\]
with some coefficients \( f_n^j(|x|, t) \), we look for a solution of the Protter problem PK of the form
\[
u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{l} \sum_{j=1}^{2n+1} u_n^j(|x|, t) Y_n^j(x).\]

Remark 2  In the case when the right-hand side function \( f(x, t) \) has the form (5) one can take test functions \( v \in V_m \) in the identity (8) to have the form \( v = w(|x|, t) Y_n^j(x), n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}, s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n + 1 \) and
\[
w \in W_m := \left\{ w(r, t) : w, w_r, w_t \in C(\widetilde{G}_m), \ w_t \in C(\widetilde{G}_m \setminus \widetilde{S}_0), \ |w_t| \leq c r^{-\frac{m}{2}}, \ c = \text{const} > 0 \right\},
\]
Here and farther \( r = |x|, \ S_0 = \{(r, t) : 0 < r < 1, \ t = 0\} \) and
\[
G_m = \left\{(r, t) : 0 < t < t_0, \ \frac{2}{2-m} \frac{r^{m_p}}{r^{\frac{m_p}{2}}} < r < 1 - \frac{2}{2-m} \frac{t^{m_p}}{r^{\frac{m_p}{2}}} \right\}.
\]
In Section 2 we formulate the 2-D boundary value problems \( PK_1 \) and \( PK_2 \), corresponding to the \((3+1)-D \) problem \( PK \). The Riemann-Hadamard function associated to the Goursat-Darboux problem \( PK_2 \) is constructed and an integral representation for generalized solution to this problem is found. Further, we obtain existence result for generalized solution of problem \( PK_2 \). This allows us to obtain the existence and uniqueness theorems for Problem \( PK_1 \). Using the results of the previous section, in Section 3 we prove main results in this paper for existence and uniqueness of a generalized solution of \((3+1)-D \) Problem \( PK \). More precisely, we formulate and prove the following theorems:

Theorem 2  If \( m \in (0, \frac{2}{7}) \), then there exists at most one generalized solution of Problem PK in \( \Omega_m \).

If in addition the right-hand side function \( f(x, t) \) is a harmonic polynomial we give an existence result as well.

Theorem 3  Let \( m \in (0, \frac{2}{7}) \), the right-hand side function \( f(x, t) \) has the form (5) and \( f, f_{x_j} \in C(\tilde{\Omega}_m), j = 1, 2, 3 \). Then there exists one and only one generalized solution of Problem PK in \( \Omega_m \), which has the form (6).
Remark 3  Actually, under the conditions for the right-hand side function in Theorem 3 using Riemann-Hadamard function we find explicit representation of generalized solution to the problem PK, which involves appropriated sum of hypergeometrical functions.

Further, in case when the right-hand side function has the form (5) we give an a priori estimate for the generalized solution of the Problem PK in $\Omega_m$.

Theorem 4  Let the conditions in Theorem 3 are fulfilled. Then the unique generalized solution of the Problem PK in $\Omega_m$ has the form (6) and satisfies the a priori estimate

$$|u(x,t)| \leq c \left(\max_{\Omega_m} |f| \right) |x|^{-l-1},$$

with a constant $c > 0$ independent on $f$.

The estimate (7) shows the maximal order of possible singularity at point $O$, when the right-hand side function $f(x,t)$ is a harmonic polynomial of fixed order $l$. We will point out that a similar estimate for generalized solutions to 3-D Protter problem $P1$ in Tricomi case is obtained in [35].

In the Appendix - Section 4 the Riemann-Hadamard function associated to two-dimensional Goursat-Darboux problem $PK_2$ is given.

2. Two-dimensional problem corresponding to Problem PK

Generalized solution of the problem PK in $\Omega_{m,e}$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ in the case when the right-hand side function $f(x,t)$ has the form (5) we define in the following way:

Definition 4  We call a function $u(x,t)$ a generalized solution of problem PK in $\Omega_{m,e}$, $(0 < m < \frac{4}{3}, 0 < \varepsilon < 1)$, for equation (1) if:

1. $u, u_j \in C(\Omega_{m,e}), j = 1, 2, 3, u_t \in C(\Omega_{m,e} \setminus \Sigma_0)$;
2. There exists a constant $C(\varepsilon) > 0$, such that the estimates (3) hold in $\Omega_{m,e}$;
3. The identity
   $$\int_{\Omega_{m,e}} \left\{ f^m u_v - u_{x_1} v_{x_1} - u_{x_2} v_{x_2} - u_{x_3} v_{x_3} - f v \right\} dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dt = 0$$
   holds for all $v = w(\|x\|, t) Y_n^m(x), n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, s = 1, 2, \ldots 2n + 1$, such that $v \equiv 0 \text{ in } \Omega_m \setminus \Omega_{m,e}$ and $w \in W_m$.

Remark 4  It is evident that a generalized solution of problem PK in $\Omega_m$ is a generalized solution in $\Omega_{m,e}$, $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, for the function $f$ restricted to $\Omega_{m,e}$.

In this paper we treat Problem PK in the spherical coordinates $(r, \theta, \varphi, t) \in \mathbb{R}^4$: $x_1 = r \sin \theta \cos \varphi, x_2 = r \sin \theta \sin \varphi, x_3 = r \cos \theta$, i.e. we consider the equation

$$L_m u = \frac{1}{r^2}(r^2 u_r)_r + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin \theta}(\sin \theta u_\theta)_\theta + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} u_{\varphi \varphi} - (f^m u_t)_t = f$$

in the region

$$\Omega_{m,e} = \left\{(r, \theta, \varphi, t) : t > 0, 0 \leq \theta < \pi, 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, e + \frac{2}{2 - m} t^{\frac{2m}{2 - m}} < r < 1 - \frac{2}{2 - m} t^{\frac{2m}{2 - m}} \right\},$$

bounded by the surfaces:

$$\Sigma_0 = \{(r, \theta, \varphi, t) : t = 0, 0 \leq \theta < \pi, 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, r < 1\},$$
$$\Sigma^m_0 = \{(r, \theta, \varphi, t) : t > 0, 0 \leq \theta < \pi, 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, r = 1 - \frac{2}{2 - m} t^{\frac{2m}{2 - m}} \},$$
$$\Sigma^m_2 = \{(r, \theta, \varphi, t) : t > 0, 0 \leq \theta < \pi, 0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi, r = e + \frac{2}{2 - m} t^{\frac{2m}{2 - m}} \}.$$
The problem \( PK \) becomes to the following one: find solution to the equation (8) with the boundary conditions
\[
  u|_{\Omega_{m,e}} = 0; \quad r^n u_t \to 0, \quad \text{as } t \to +0.
\]
In the special case when the right-hand side of the equation (8) has the form
\[
  f(r, \theta, \varphi, t) = f_0^m(r, t) Y_n^m(\theta, \varphi),
\]
we may look for a solution of the form
\[
  u(r, \theta, \varphi, t) = u_0^m(r, t) Y_n^m(\theta, \varphi),
\]
with unknown coefficients \( u_0^m(r, t) \).
Recall that \( Y_n^m \) satisfy the differential equation (see [16])
\[
\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} Y_n^m \right) + \frac{1}{\sin^2 \theta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \varphi^2} Y_n^m + n(n + 1)Y_n^m = 0.
\]
For the coefficient \( u_0^m(r, t) \) which correspond to the right-hand side \( f_0^m(r, t) \) we obtain the 2-D equation
\[
  u_{rr} + \frac{2}{r} u_r - \left( r^n u_t \right)_t - \frac{n(n + 1)}{r^2} u = f(r, t)
\]
in the domain
\[
  G_{m,e} = \left\{ (r, t) : 0 < t < t_\varepsilon, \varepsilon + \frac{2}{2 - m} \frac{\varepsilon^{2n}}{2 - m} < r < 1 - \frac{2}{2 - m} \frac{\varepsilon^{2n}}{2 - m} \right\},
\]
which is bounded by the segment \( S_0 \) and the characteristics
\[
  S_1^m := \left\{ (r, t) : 0 < t < t_\varepsilon, r = 1 - \frac{2}{2 - m} \frac{\varepsilon^{2n}}{2 - m} \right\}, \quad S_2^m := \left\{ (r, t) : 0 < t < t_\varepsilon, r = \frac{2}{2 - m} \frac{\varepsilon^{2n}}{2 - m} + \varepsilon \right\}.
\]
In this case, for \( u(r, t) \), the 2-D problem corresponding to \( PK \) is the problem
\[
  PK_1 : \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
    u_{rr} + \frac{2}{r} u_r - \left( r^n u_t \right)_t - \frac{n(n + 1)}{r^2} u = f \quad \text{in } G_{m,e},
    
    u|_{\partial G_{m,e}} = 0; \quad r^n u_t \to 0, \quad \text{as } t \to +0.
  \end{array} \right.
\]
The generalized solution of the Problem \( PK_1 \) is defined by

**Definition 5** We call a function \( u(r, t) \) a generalized solution of problem \( PK_1 \) in \( G_{m,e} \) \((0 < m < \frac{4}{3}, \ 0 < \varepsilon < 1)\), if:

1. \( u, u_t \in C(\bar{G}_{m,e}), \ u_t \in C(\bar{G}_{m,e} \setminus \bar{S}_0) \),
2. There exists a constant \( C(\varepsilon) > 0 \), such that in \( G_{m,e} \)
\[
  |u(r, t)| \leq C(\varepsilon) \left( 1 - r - \frac{2}{2 - m} \frac{\varepsilon^{2n}}{2 - m} \right), \quad |u_t(r, t)| \leq C(\varepsilon) r^{-\frac{2n}{2-2m}};
\]
3. The identity
\[
  \int_{G_{m,e}} \left\{ u_r v_r - r^n u_t v_t + \frac{n(n + 1)}{r^2} u v + f v \right\} r^2 dr dt = 0
\]
holds for all
\[
  v \in V_{m,e} := \left\{ v(r, t) : v, v_t \in C(\bar{G}_m), \ v_t \in C(\bar{G}_m \setminus \bar{S}_0),  \ |v_t| \leq c t^{-\frac{2n}{2-2m}}, \ v \equiv 0 \text{ in } G_m \setminus G_{m,e} \right\},
\]
\( c = \text{const} > 0 \).
Substituting the new characteristic coordinates

$$\xi = 1 - r - \frac{2}{2 - m} t^{\frac{1}{2 - m}}, \quad \eta = 1 - r + \frac{2}{2 - m} t^{\frac{1}{2 - m}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

and the new functions

$$U(\xi, \eta) = r(\xi, \eta) u(r(\xi, \eta), t(\xi, \eta)), \quad V(\xi, \eta) = r(\xi, \eta) v(r(\xi, \eta), t(\xi, \eta)),$$

$$F(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{8} (2 - \xi - \eta) f(r(\xi, \eta), t(\xi, \eta)),$$

we derive from (9) the identity

$$\int_{D_\varepsilon} (\eta - \xi)^{2\beta} \left( 2U_\xi V_\eta + 2U_\eta V_\xi + \frac{4n(n + 1)}{(2 - \xi - \eta)^2} UV + 4FV \right) \, d\xi \, d\eta = 0,$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

where for $0 < m < 4/3$, $\beta := \frac{m}{2(2 - m)} \in (0, 1)$ and

$$D_\varepsilon = \{ (\xi, \eta) : 0 < \xi < \eta < 1 - \varepsilon \} \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \quad \varepsilon \in [0, 1).$$

If $U$ is sufficiently smooth, from (11) we get the 2-D Goursat-Darboux problem:

**Problem PK$_2$.** Find a function $U(\xi, \eta)$ that satisfies the equation

$$U_{\xi\eta} + \frac{\beta}{\eta - \xi} (U_\xi - U_\eta) - \frac{n(n + 1)}{(2 - \xi - \eta)^2} U = F(\xi, \eta) \quad \text{in} \quad D_\varepsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

and the boundary conditions

$$U(0, \eta) = 0, \quad \lim_{\eta - \xi \to +0, \eta - \xi > 0} (\eta - \xi)^{2\beta} (U_\xi - U_\eta) = 0.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

**Remark 5** For Protter problem P2 in the Tricomi case we get a similar two-dimensional Goursat-Darboux problem with an equation similar to the equation (12), but now the right-hand side function $F(\xi, \eta)$ has singularity like $(\eta - \xi)^{-\beta}$ on the line $\eta = \xi$. In that case $\beta = \frac{m}{2(2 - m)} \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, because $m > 0$. While in Keldysh case we see that $F(\xi, \eta)$ is bounded in $\bar{D}_\varepsilon$ if right-hand side function $f(x, t)$ in equation (1) is continuous in $\Omega_m$.

To investigate the smoothness and possible singularities of a solution to the original (3+1)-D problem PK on $\Sigma^m_\alpha$, we are seeking for a generalized solution of the corresponding two-dimensional Goursat-Darboux problem PK$_2$ not only in the domain $D_\varepsilon$, but also in the domain

$$D_\varepsilon^{(1)} := \{ (\xi, \eta) : 0 < \xi < \eta < 1, \, 0 < \xi < 1 - \varepsilon \}, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$  \hspace{1cm}

Clearly, $D_\varepsilon \subset D_\varepsilon^{(1)}$ and we give the following definition of a generalized solution to the problem PK$_2$ in $D_\varepsilon^{(1)}$:

**Definition 6** We call a function $U(\xi, \eta)$ a generalized solution of problem PK$_2$ in $D_\varepsilon^{(1)}$ $(0 < \beta < 1, \, 0 < \varepsilon < 1)$, if:

1. $U, \, U_\xi + U_\eta \in C(\bar{D}_\varepsilon^{(1)}), \, U_\xi - U_\eta \in C(\bar{D}_\varepsilon^{(1)} \setminus \{ \eta = \xi \}), \, U_{\xi\eta} \in C(\bar{D}_\varepsilon^{(1)});$

2. $U(\xi, \eta)$ satisfies the equation (12) in $D_\varepsilon^{(1)}$ and the following estimates hold

$$|U(\xi, \eta)| \leq c \xi, \quad \text{in} \quad \bar{D}_\varepsilon^{(1)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

$$|(U_\xi - U_\eta)(\xi, \eta)| \leq c(\eta - \xi)^{-\beta} \quad \text{in} \quad \bar{D}_\varepsilon^{(1)} \setminus \{ \eta = \xi \},$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

where $c > 0$ is a constant.

Now we use Riemann-Hadamard function associated to problem PK$_2$ to find integral representation for a generalized solution of this problem in $D_\varepsilon^{(1)}$. According to S. Gellerstedt [10] and A. Nakhushev [29] this function has the form

$$\Phi(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\Phi^+(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0), & \eta > \xi_0 \\
\Phi^-(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0), & \eta < \xi_0,
\end{array} \right.$$

$$\Phi(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\Phi^+(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0), & \eta > \xi_0 \\
\Phi^-(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0), & \eta < \xi_0,
\end{array} \right.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$
for \((\xi_0, \eta_0) \in D_0\) and \((\xi, \eta) \in T \cup \Pi\), where
\[
T := \{(\xi, \eta) : 0 < \xi < \xi_0\}, \quad \Pi := \{(\xi, \eta) : 0 < \xi < \xi_0, \xi_0 < \eta < \eta_0\}.
\]
The Riemann-Hadamard function \(\Phi(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0)\) should have the following main properties (see [10], [29]):
(i) The function \(\Phi\) as a function of \((\xi_0, \eta_0)\) satisfies
\[
E(\Phi) := \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \xi_0 \partial \eta_0} + \frac{\beta}{\eta_0 - \xi_0} \left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \xi_0} - \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \eta_0}\right) - \frac{n(n + 1)}{(2 - \xi_0 - \eta_0)^2} \Phi = 0 \text{ in } D^{(1)}_\varepsilon, \eta \neq \xi_0.
\]
and with respect to the first pair of variables \((\xi, \eta)\)
\[
E^*(\Phi) := \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial \xi \partial \eta} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} \left(\frac{\beta \Phi}{\eta - \xi}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left(\frac{\beta \Phi}{\eta - \xi}\right) - \frac{n(n + 1)}{(2 - \xi - \eta)^2} \Phi = 0 \text{ in } D^{(1)}_\varepsilon, \eta \neq \xi_0.
\]
(ii) \(\Phi^*(\xi_0, \eta_0; \xi_0, \eta_0) = 1\);
(iii) \(\Phi^*(\xi, \eta_0; \xi_0, \eta_0) = \left(\frac{\eta_0 - \xi}{\eta_0 - \xi_0}\right)\);  
(iv) \(\Phi^*(\xi_0, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0) = \left(\frac{\eta - \xi_0}{\eta_0 - \xi_0}\right)^\beta\);  
(v) The jump of function \(\Phi\) on the line \(\eta = \xi_0\) is
\[
\lim_{\delta \to 0^+} [\Phi^\delta(\xi, \xi_0 - \delta; \xi_0, \eta_0) - \Phi^\delta(\xi, \xi_0 + \delta; \xi_0, \eta_0)]
= \cos(\pi \beta) \lim_{\delta \to 0^+} [\Phi^\delta(\xi, \xi_0 + \delta; \xi_0, \xi_0 + \delta) \Phi^\delta(\xi_0, \xi_0 + \delta; \xi_0, \eta_0)]
= \cos(\pi \beta) \left(\frac{\xi_0 - \xi}{\eta_0 - \xi_0}\right)^\beta.
\]
(vi) \(\Phi^\delta\) vanishes on the line \(\eta = \xi\) of power \(2\beta\).

Actually, the function \(\Phi^*\) is the Riemann function for equation (12). Existence of function \(\Phi(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0)\) with properties (i) \(\div\) (vi) is shown in Section 4.

In the case \(0 < \beta < 1/2\) for generalized solution to the Problem \(PK_2\) with right-hand side functions of the form \(F(\xi, \eta) = (\eta - \xi)^{-\beta} f(\xi, \eta)\), where \(f \in C(D^{(1)}_\varepsilon)\), we have the following explicit integral representation for \((\xi_0, \eta_0) \in D^{(1)}_\varepsilon\) (see S. Gellerstedt [10] and A. Nakhushhev [29]):
\[
U(\xi_0, \eta_0) = \int_0^{\xi_0} \int_{\xi}^{\eta} F(\xi, \eta) \Phi(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0) d\eta d\xi.
\]
In the case \(0 < \beta < 1\), using (18) and (17) we obtain the same formula (19) for generalized solution of the problem \(PK_2\) with right-hand side functions \(F \in C(D^{(1)}_\varepsilon)\), by integrating the identity (differentiations are with respect to \(\xi\) and \(\eta\))
\[
\Phi(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0) E(U(\xi, \eta) - U(\xi_0, \eta_0) E^\delta(\Phi(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0))) = F(\xi, \eta) \Phi(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0)
\]
over a triangle \(\Delta_0\), bounded by the characteristics \(\xi = 0, \eta = \xi_0 - \delta_1\) and \(\eta = \xi + \delta_1\) and then over the rectangle \(\Pi_0\), bounded by the characteristics \(\xi = 0, \xi = \xi_0 - 2\delta_1, \eta = \xi_0 + \delta_1\), \(\eta = \eta_0\) and using the properties of the Riemann-Hadamard function \(\Phi(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0)\) and finally letting \(\delta_1 \to 0\).

In this way we showed that if \(U(\xi, \eta)\) is a generalized solution to the problem \(PK_2\) it should have the form (19). Further, we prove that if \(F, F_\xi, F_\eta \in C(\Delta_0)\) and \(U(\xi, \eta)\) is a function defined by (19) than it is a generalized solution to the problem \(PK_2\). We introduce the notation
\[
M_F := \max_{\Delta_0} \left\{ \max_{\Delta_0} |F|, \max_{\Delta_0} |F_\xi + F_\eta| \right\}.
\]
Using the representation (26) (see the Appendix below) of the function \(\Phi\) and properties of Gauss hypergeometrical function we prove the following:
3. Proof of the main results.

In this section give a sketch of the proofs of Theorem 2, Theorem 3 and Theorem 4, formulated in Section 1.

**Proof of Theorem 2.**

(i) Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $u_1$ and $u_2$ are two generalized solutions of Problem $PK$ in $\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}$. Then the function $u := u_1 - u_2$ solves the homogeneous Problem $PK$. Now let us define the Fourier coefficients

$$u_\varepsilon^s(r, t) := \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} u(r, \theta, \varphi, t)Y_n^s(\theta, \varphi) \sin \theta \, d\varphi \, d\theta.$$ 

We will show that $u_\varepsilon^s(r, t) \equiv 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, $s = 1, 2, \ldots, 2n + 1$, i.e. $u \equiv 0$ in $\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}$.

For $u$ we know that

$$\int_{\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}} \{r^n u_1 v_1 - u_{x_1} v_{x_1} - u_{x_2} v_{x_2} - u_{x_3} v_{x_3}\} \, dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dt = 0 \quad (21)$$

holds for all test functions $v = wY_n^s$ described in Definition 4. Therefore from (21) we derive

$$\int_{G_{m,\varepsilon}} \left( u_{n,t}^s w_r - r^n u_{n,t}^s w_t + \frac{n(n + 1)}{r^2} u_n^s w \right) r^2 \, dr \, dt = 0$$

for all $w(r, t) \in V_{m,\varepsilon}$ (see Definition 5). From Definition 5 it follows that the functions $u_\varepsilon^s(r, t)$, are generalized solutions of the 2-D homogeneous problem $PK_1$. Lemma 7 gives $u_\varepsilon^s(r, t) \equiv 0$ in $G_{m,\varepsilon}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and thus $u = u_1 - u_2 \equiv 0$.

(ii) Let $\varepsilon = 0$ and $u(x, t)$ is a generalized solution of the homogeneous Problem $PK$ in $\Omega_m$. Then it is easy to see that $u(x, t)$ is a generalized solution of the same homogeneous problem in $\Omega_{m,\varepsilon}$ for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. From (i) follows that $u \equiv 0$ in $\Omega_{m,\varepsilon,\varepsilon}$ for each $\varepsilon_0 \in (0, 1)$, so $u = u_1 - u_2 \equiv 0$ in $\Omega_m$.

**Proof of Theorem 3.** From Theorem 2 it follows that there exists at most one generalized solution of Problem $PK$ in $\Omega_m$. Since $f(x, t)$ has the form (5) we look for a generalized solution of the form (6), i.e.

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{l} \sum_{s=1}^{2n+1} u_n^s(|x|, t)Y_n^s(x).$$

To find such solution means to find functions $u_n^s(r, t)$ that satisfy the equations

$$\int_{G_{m,\varepsilon}} \left( u_{n,t}^s v_r - r^n u_{n,t}^s v_t + \frac{n(n + 1)}{r^2} u_n^s v \right) r^2 \, dr \, dt = 0$$

where the constant $K > 0$ does not depend on $F$.

Actually, the Theorem 5 is the essential result in this section and has the most difficult proof. Now, following [36], we are able to prove existence and uniqueness result for two-dimensional problem $PK_1$ :

**Lemma 6** Let $0 < m < \frac{1}{4}$, $f, f_r \in C(G_m)$. Then for each fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists a generalized solution $u(r, t)$ of problem $PK_1$ in $G_{m,\varepsilon}$.

**Lemma 7** Let $0 < m < \frac{1}{4}$. Then for each fixed $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ there exists at most one generalized solution of Problem $PK_1$ in $G_{m,\varepsilon}$. 
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for all \( v \in V_{m,r} \), \( \epsilon \in (0,1) \) and satisfy the corresponding conditions (1.), (2.) and (3.) in the Definition 5. Lemma 6 gives existence of such functions \( u_\epsilon \) which are generalized solutions of Problem \( PK_k \) in \( G_{m,r} \), \( \epsilon \in (0,1) \). This shows that the function \( u(x,t) \), given by (6) is a generalized solution of Problem \( PK \) in \( \Omega_{m,r} \), \( \epsilon \in (0,1) \). In this way, we prove existence of generalized solution in \( \Omega_{m,r} \) for each \( \epsilon \in (0,1) \). Let mention also, that for each two fixed \( \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 : 0 < \epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2 < 1 \), the corresponding generalized solution \( u_{\epsilon_2} \) is a restriction of \( u_{\epsilon_1} \) in the domain \( \Omega_{m,\epsilon_2} \). So we have a function \( u, u_{\xi} \in C(\Omega_{m} \setminus \Theta) \), \( j = 1,2,3 \), \( u_{t} \in C(\Omega_{m} \setminus \bar{\Theta}) \). Therefore, there exists generalized solution of Problem \( PK \) in \( \Omega_{m} \) in sense of Definition 3.

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 claim existence and uniqueness of generalized solutions \( u(x,t) \) of Problem \( PK \) in \( \Omega_{m} \), which has the form (6). Using (10) for functions \( U^{\delta}_{n}(\xi, \eta) = r(\xi, \eta)u_{n}(r(\xi, \eta), r(\xi, \eta)) \) and \( F^{\delta}_{n}(\xi, \eta) = \frac{1}{2}r(\xi, \eta)f'_{n}(r(\xi, \eta), r(\xi, \eta)) \) we obtain the 2-D problem \( PK_{1} \). According to Theorem 5 the estimates (20) hold and we have

\[
|U^{\delta}_{n}(\xi, \eta)| \leq K \left( \max_{C_{n}} |f'_{n}| \right) (2 - \xi - \eta)^{-n}
\]

with a constant \( K > 0 \) independent of \( f'_{n} \). That implies

\[
|u'_{n}(r, t)| \leq 2^{-n}K \left( \max_{C_{n}} |f'_{n}| \right) r^{-n-1}.
\]

Therefore in view of (6) summing up over \( n \) and \( s \) we get the desired estimate (7).

### 4. Appendix. Riemann-Hadamard function

In the case \( n = 0 \) the Riemann-Hadamard function associated to problem \( PK_{2} \) is well known (see S. Gellerstedt [10], A. Nakhushev [29] and M. Smirnov [41]):

\[
H(\xi, \eta; \xi_{0}, \eta_{0}) = \begin{cases} 
H^{+}(\xi, \eta; \xi_{0}, \eta_{0}), & \eta > \xi_{0} \\
H^{-}(\xi, \eta; \xi_{0}, \eta_{0}), & \eta < \xi_{0}, 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( (\xi_{0}, \eta_{0}) \in D_{0}, (\xi, \eta) \in T \cup \Pi \) and

\[
H^{+}(\xi, \eta; \xi_{0}, \eta_{0}) = \left( \frac{\eta - \xi}{\eta_{0} - \xi_{0}} \right)^{\beta} F(\beta, 1 - \beta, 1; X),
\]

\[
H^{-}(\xi, \eta; \xi_{0}, \eta_{0}) = k \left( \frac{\eta - \xi}{\eta_{0} - \xi_{0}} \right)^{\beta} X^{\beta} F\left( \beta, 2\beta; \frac{1}{X} \right),
\]

\[
k = \frac{\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(1 - \beta)\Gamma(2\beta)}.
\]

Here \( F(a, b; c; \zeta) \) is the standard hypergeometric function of Gauss

\[
F(a, b; c; \zeta) := \sum_{\iota = 0}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{\iota} (b)_{\iota}}{\Gamma(c)_{\iota}} \zeta^{\iota}.
\]

(22)

In the case \( n \geq 0 \) we construct the following Riemann-Hadamard function for problem \( PK_{2} \) of the form (16), where for \( (\xi_{0}, \eta_{0}) \in D_{0} \) and \( (\xi, \eta) \in T \cup \Pi \)

\[
\Phi^{+} = \left( \frac{\eta - \xi}{\eta_{0} - \xi_{0}} \right)^{\beta} F_{3}(\beta, n + 1, 1 - \beta, -n, 1; X, Y),
\]

\[
\Phi^{-} = k \left( \frac{\eta - \xi}{\eta_{0} - \xi_{0}} \right)^{\beta} X^{\beta} H_{2}\left( \beta, \beta, -n, n + 1, 2\beta; \frac{1}{X}, -Y \right),
\]

\[
Y = Y(\xi, \eta, \xi_{0}, \eta_{0}) := \frac{(\xi_{0} - \xi)(\eta_{0} - \eta)}{(2 - \xi - \eta)(2 - \xi_{0} - \eta_{0})}.
\]

(23)
Here $F_3(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c; x, y)$ is the Appell series

$$F_3(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c; x, y) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_i(a_2)_j(b_1)_j(b_2)_j}{(c)_(i+j)!} x^i y^j$$

(24)

which converges absolutely for $|x| < 1$, $|y| < 1$ (see [4], p. 220 - 223) and $H_2(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c; x, y)$ is the Horn series

$$H_2(a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c; x, y) := \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_i(a_2)_j(b_1)_j(b_2)_j}{(c)_(i+j)!} x^i y^j$$

(25)

which converges absolutely for $|x| < 1$, $|y| (1 + |x|) < 1$ (see [4], p. 220 - 223).

We mention that for $(\xi_0, \eta_0) \in D_\theta$ we have $|X| < 1$ in $\Pi$ and $1/|X| < 1$ in $T$, while $|Y| < 1$ in $\Pi$ but $|Y|$ could be greater than 1 in $T$. However function $\Phi$ is well defined, because $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have finite sum with respect to $i$ in function $H_2$(see (25)), which appears in (23).

Using the relation $(\beta)_j-1(1-\beta)_i = (-1)^i(\beta - i)_j$ it is easy to see that

$$\Phi(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0) = H(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0) + G(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0)$$

(26)

with

$$G(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0) = \begin{cases} G^+(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0), & \eta > \xi_0 \\ G^-(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0), & \eta < \xi_0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$G^+(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0) := \left(\frac{\eta - \xi}{\eta_0 - \xi_0}\right)^{\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i Y^i F(\beta, 1 - \beta, i + 1; X),$$

$$G^-(\xi, \eta; \xi_0, \eta_0) := k \left(\frac{\eta - \xi}{\eta_0 - \xi_0}\right)^{\beta} X^{-\beta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i Y^i \left(\beta - i, \beta, 2\beta, \frac{1}{X}\right)$$

and

$$c_i := \frac{(n + 1)_i}{i!}, \quad d_i := \frac{(n + 1)_i(-\beta)_i}{(1 - \beta)_i i!}.$$

Using properties of Gauss hypergeometric function (22), the Appell series (24) and the Horn series (25) it is not difficult to see that the function $\Phi$ has the properties (i) $\div$ (vi) described in Section 2. To check the property (v) we establish that the function $G$ has no jump on the line $|\eta = \xi_0|$, and $[[\Phi]] = [[H]]$.

We mention here that function (23) is closely connected to the Riemann-Hadamard function announced in [42](p. 25, example 7), which is associated to a Goursat-Darboux problem for an equation connected with (12) with some appropriate substitutions.
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