Configurations of Pedal Circles of an Arbitrary Point in the Plane of a Polygon (Continuation)

Veselin N. Nenkov^{1,a)}, Sava Iv. Grozdev^{2,b)}, Asen P. Velchev^{3,c)}, Rayna M. Alashka^{4,d)}, Stanislav T. Stefanov^{5,e)} and Haim M. Haimov⁶

¹Nikola Vaptsarov Naval Academy, Department of Mathematics and Physics, Faculty of Engineering, str. "Vasil Drumev" № 73, Varna 9002, Bulgaria
²University of Plovdiv "St. Paisii Hilendarski", Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, "Bulgaria" blvd. № 236, Plovdiv 4027, Bulgaria
³Technical University of Sofia, Faculty of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, "Kliment Ohridski" blvd. № 8, Sofia 1000, Bulgaria
⁴Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski", Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, "James Bourchier" blvd. № 5, Sofia 1164, Bulgaria
⁵University of Architecture, Civil Engineering and Geodesy, Faculty of Transport Engineering, "Hristo Smirnenski" blvd. № 1, Sofia 1164, Bulgaria
⁶16 Bratia Shkorpil St., Varna 9000, Bulgaria

^{a)} vnenkov@mail.bg
 ^{b)} sava.grozdev@gmail.com
 ^{c)} Corresponding author: asen_v@abv.bg
 ^{d)} ralashka@fmi.uni-sofia.bg
 ^{e)} stanislav.toshkov@abv.bg

Abstract: Here we consider the mutual disposition of the pedal circles corresponding to an arbitrary point in the plane of an inscribed hexagon, with respect to a part of the triangles formed by the hexagon's sides and diagonals. Previously we have defined the Simpson circle of an arbitrary pentagon (see [1]). Here we prove that the Simpson circles of the six pentagons, formed by connecting each five among the six vertices of the inscribed hexagon, intersect at one point. Along the way, we establish an interesting property of the Simpson circle of an inscribed pentagon.

INTRODUCTION

In [1] we consider configurations of pedal circles of an arbitrary point in the plane of a convex *quadrilateral/ pentagon/* with respect to the triangles, having as vertices consecutive vertices of *it*. There we define the concepts pedal image of a point with respect to a quadrilateral, Simpson circle and Simpson pentagon of a point with respect to a pentagon. Here we show that the Simpson circles of any point on the plane of an inscribed hexagon, with respect to the pentagons formed by its successive vertices, meet at one point, using these definitions and statements, formulated and proven in [1] and [3]:

A pedal circle of a point, which does not lie on the circumcircle of a triangle, we call the circle passing through the orthogonal projections of the point on the lines, which contain the sides of the triangle.

A polygon formed by part of the vertices of another polygon, we called its peak polygon, and a polygon formed by consecutive vertices of the initial polygon – its peripheral polygon.

Theorem 1. The pedal circles (c_1) , (c_2) , (c_3) and (c_4) of any point X in the plane of the quadrilateral ABCD, which does not lie on the same circle with any three of its vertices, with respect to its peak triangles ABC, BCD, CDA and DAB meet at one point Y (Fig. 1). This point Y we called the pedal image of X with respect to ABCD.

Theorem 2. The pedal images A_1 , B_1 , C_1 , D_1 and E_1 of an arbitrary point X in the plane of a random pentagon *ABCDE* with respect to its peripheral quadrilaterals *EBCD*, *ACDE*, *CEAB*, etc., are concyclic (**Fig. 2**). We called the respective circle the Simpson's circle for the point X with respect to the pentagon *ABCDE*, and the pentagon $A_1B_1C_1D_1E_1$ – Simpson's pentagon for X.

NEW RESULTS

Theorem 3. *ABCDEF* is an inscribed hexagon, X - a point on its plane, **not** on its circumcircle. Simpson's circles k_A , k_B , k_C , k_D , k_E and k_F for X, relative to the hexagon's peripheral pentagons *BCDEF*, *CDEFA*, etc., intersect at one point Y (Fig. 3).



Before proving this statement, we will introduce some notations and prove several lemmas:

Let *ABCDE* be a convex pentagon and X – a point in its plane (**Fig. 4**). Let A_0 , B_0 , C_0 , D_0 , E_0 be the orthogonal projections of X on the lines of the sides AB, BC, CD, DE, EA and those on the lines along the diagonals EB, AC, BD, CE, DA of the pentagon – A_2 , B_2 , C_2 , D_2 and E_2 . Let denote the pedal images of X relative to the peripheral quadrilaterals *BCDE*, ACDE, ABDE, ABCE, ABCD by A_1 , B_1 , C_1 , D_1 and E_1 (**Fig. 2**), resp.

Definition 1. A vertex of the pentagon ABCDE, which does not belong to its peripheral quadrilateral, we call additional to the quadrilateral, and the quadrilateral – additional to the vertex (D is additional to EABC) (Fig. 2).

Using this definition, we will first define a correspondence between the peripheral triangles of a pentagon ABCDE and the peripheral triangles of its Simpson pentagon $A_1B_1C_1D_1E_1$ for an arbitrary point X in its plane. Take an arbitrary peripheral triangle of ABCDE, say $\triangle ABC$ (Fig. 2) and the three peripheral quadrilaterals BCDE, CDEA, DEAB, additional to the vertices A, B, C. The $\triangle A_1B_1C_1$ with vertices the pedal images A_1 , B_1 , C_1 of X, with respect to these peripheral quadrilaterals, we call conjugate to $\triangle ABC$.



FIGURE 3. Shows Theorem 3.

FIGURE 4. Convex pentagon ABCDE & the pedal images of point X.

C₀

Bo

C

In the proof of Theorem 3 we will use a property of Simpson's pentagon for an arbitrary point X in the plane of a circumscribed pentagon, but before formulating this property, we will prove several auxiliary statements:

Lemma 1. Let *ABC* be an arbitrary triangle and X be an arbitrary point, which lies: in the half-plane with contour the line *BC*, which does not contain the triangle, inside the angle *BAC* and not on the circumcircle of $\triangle ABC$ (**Fig. 5**). If A_1 , B_1 and C_1 are the orthogonal projections of X, respectively on the lines *BC*, *CA* and *AB*, then for the angles of the pedal $\triangle A_1B_1C_1$ of the point X with respect to $\triangle ABC$ are satisfied these equations:

Proof 1. Obviously $\ll A_1C_1B_1 = \ll XC_1B_1 - \ll XC_1A_1$ (∇) (Fig.5). From the inscribed quadrilateral AC_1XB_1 $\Rightarrow \ll XC_1B_1 = \ll XAB_1$ (*) and $\ll XC_1A_1 = \ll XBA_1$ (**) (from the inscribed quadrilateral A_1C_1BX).



Substituting the left sides of (*), (**) with their right sides in (∇) , we get:

$$\sphericalangle A_1 C_1 B_1 = \sphericalangle X C_1 B_1 - \sphericalangle X C_1 A_1 = \sphericalangle X A B_1 - \sphericalangle X B A_1 = \sphericalangle X A C - \sphericalangle X B C$$

i.e.:

$$\measuredangle A_1 C_1 B_1 = \measuredangle CAX - \measuredangle CBX \tag{2}$$

On the other hand:

i.e.:

$$\measuredangle AXB = \measuredangle ACB + \measuredangle CAX - \measuredangle CBX$$
.

From the last one we get: $\measuredangle AXB - \measuredangle ACB = \measuredangle CAX - \measuredangle CBX$.

As the right-hand sides of the last equation and equation (2) are the same, by equating their's left sides, we get: $\ll A_1C_1B_1 = \ll AXB - \ll ACB$.

Thus we proved the first of the equations (1). The other two can be proved analogously. Let us now recall this: **Definition 2.** Two lines through the vertex of an arbitrary angle are called isogonal with respect to it, if they form equal angles with its angle bisector, and therefore with the arms of the angle.

Lemma 2. Let X be an arbitrary point in the plane of an inscribed quadrilateral ABCD (Fig. 6), not lying on its circumcircle and different from its Miquel's point. Further: let M, N, P and Q be X's orthogonal projections on the lines AB, BC, CD and DA which contain the quadrilateral's respective sides. X and its pedal image Y with respect to the quadrilateral ABCD lie on isogonal lines with respect to each of the angles of the quadrilateral MNPQ.

This lemma is proven in [2] (see the corollary of Theorem 8 at the end of the paper).

Lemma 3. Let $A_1B_1C_1D_1E_1$ be the Simpson's pentagon for the point X with respect to an inscribed pentagon ABCDE. Let consider an arbitrary angle of the first one, say $\ll E_1A_1B_1$ (Fig. 7). It is the angle of the peripheral $\triangle E_1A_1B_1$ of the pentagon $A_1B_1C_1D_1E_1$. Let consider the peripheral $\triangle EAB$ of the pentagon ABCDE, conjugate to $\triangle E_1A_1B_1$ and the corresponding angle of the pedal $\triangle C_0A_2D_0$ of the point X relative to it – namely $\ll C_0A_2D_0$. The following equalities hold: $\ll E_1A_1B_1 = \ll C_0A_2D_0$, $\ll A_1B_1C_1 = \ll D_0B_2E_0$, $\ll B_1C_1D_1 = \ll A_0C_2E_0$, ...

Proof 3. The vertex A_1 of the Simpson's pentagon $A_1B_1C_1D_1E_1$ for the point X is the pedal image of X with respect to the quadrilateral *BCDE* (**Fig. 7**) and B_1 is the pedal image of X with respect to *CDEA*. $\triangle ECD$ is a peak triangle in both quadrilaterals, so the pedal images A_1 and B_1 of X relative to them, lie on the pedal circle k of the point X relative to this triangle. The circle k also contains the orthogonal projections of X with respect to the sides CD and ED of $\triangle ECD$ – the points A_0 and B_0 . Therefore, the quadrilateral $A_1A_0B_0B_1$ is inscribed in k. Therefore, if P is an arbitrary point on the continuation of A_0A_1 , then $\blacktriangleleft PA_1B_1 = \blacktriangleleft B_1B_0A_0$. Analogically, $\measuredangle PA_1E_1 = \measuredangle E_1E_0A_0$ (from the inscribed quadrilateral $A_1E_1E_0A_0$). From the last two equations we get:



FIGURE 7. Shows Lemmas 3, 4 and 5, and Theorem 4.

The pedal image B_1 of X with respect to the inscribed quadrilateral *CDEA* and the point X lie on lines, isogonal with respect to the $\measuredangle A_0 B_0 C_0$ of the pedal quadrilateral $A_0 B_0 C_0 B_2$ of X with respect to *CDEA* (according to Lemma 2). Therefore $\measuredangle B_1 B_0 A_0 = \measuredangle X B_0 C_0$ (according to definition 2). Analogically, from the quadrilateral $A_0 E_0 D_0 E_2$ $\Rightarrow \measuredangle E_1 E_0 A_0 = \measuredangle X E_0 D_0$. We substitute the last two equations in the above and get:

$$\boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{x}} E_1 A_1 B_1 = \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{x}} E_1 E_0 A_0 + \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{x}} B_1 B_0 A_0 = \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{x}} X E_0 D_0 + \boldsymbol{\boldsymbol{x}} X B_0 C_0$$

On the other hand $\measuredangle XE_0D_0 = \measuredangle XBD_0$ (from the inscribed quadrilateral XD_0BE_0) and $\measuredangle XB_0C_0 = \measuredangle XEC_0$ (from the inscribed quadrilateral XC_0EB_0). We substitute these equations in the above and get:

$$\sphericalangle E_1 A_1 B_1 = \sphericalangle X E_0 D_0 + \sphericalangle X B_0 C_0 = \sphericalangle X B D_0 + \sphericalangle X E C_0$$

On the other hand, from the quadrilateral ABXE, we have:

$$\checkmark XBD_0 + \checkmark XEC_0 = \checkmark XBA + \checkmark XEA = 360^\circ - \checkmark EXB - \checkmark EAB.$$

Therefore:

$$\measuredangle E_1 A_1 B_1 = \measuredangle XBD_0 + \measuredangle XEC_0 = 360^\circ - \measuredangle EXB - \measuredangle EAB$$

At the same time, for the pedal $\triangle C_0 A_2 D_0$ of X with respect to $\triangle EAB$ (according to Lemma 1): $\ll C_0 A_2 D_0 = 360^\circ - \measuredangle EXB - \measuredangle EAB$.

Comparing the right sides of the last two equations we get the first equation proved: $\ll E_1 A_1 B_1 = \ll C_0 A_2 D_0$. The other equations can be analogically proved.

Lemma 4. Let *ABCDE* be an inscribed pentagon and X - a point *not* on its circumcircle. For the initially accepted notations, the following equations are satisfied (**Fig. 7**):

and so on (i.e. the adjacent angles of the pedal triangles of point *X* relative to the successive peripheral triangles of *ABCDE* are equal to each other).

Proof 4. $A_2C_0D_0$ is the pedal triangle of X with respect to $\triangle ABE$ (Fig. 7), so by Lemma 1 we have:

 $\blacktriangleleft A_2 C_0 D_0 = \sphericalangle AXE - \sphericalangle ABE$

Analogically, from $\triangle ADE$, again by Lemma 1, we have:

$$\blacktriangleleft B_0 C_0 E_2 = \measuredangle AXE - \measuredangle ADE$$

Since $\measuredangle ABE = \measuredangle ADE$ (as inscribed angles), comparing the right sides of the last two equations, we get $\measuredangle A_2C_0D_0 = \measuredangle B_0C_0E_2$ (proof of the first one of the chain of equations (3)). The other two we prove analogously.

Lemma 5. If *ABCDE* is an inscribed pentagon and X – a point in its plane, but **not** on its circumcircle (**Fig. 7**). For the initially accepted notations:

$$\not < A_0 D_2 B_0 + \not < E_0 B_2 D_0 = \not < E_2 C_0 B_0 + 180^o \tag{4}$$

Proof 5. Since $\triangle A_0 D_2 B_0$ is the pedal triangle of X with respect to $\triangle ECD$, according to Lemma 1 (Fig. 7):

$$\sphericalangle A_0 D_2 B_0 = 360^\circ - \sphericalangle EXC - \sphericalangle EDC$$

From $\triangle ABC$, also by Lemma 1, it follows the equality:

$$\sphericalangle E_0 B_2 D_0 = 360^\circ - \sphericalangle AXC - \sphericalangle ABC$$

We add the last two equalities and get:

On the other hand, $\not\prec ADE = \not\prec EDC - \not\prec ADC$ (Fig. 7) and $\not\prec E_2C_0B_0 = \not\prec AXE - \not\prec ADE$ (according to Lemma 1). Using both of them, we get consequently:

i.e.:

hence:

Substitute the right part of the last equation with the right side of equation (5), we get:

$$< E_2 C_0 B_0 = < A_0 D_2 B_0 + < E_0 B_2 D_0 - 180^\circ$$

From this immediately follows the equality which we are proving.

Lemma 6. Any two inscribed pentagons with equal corresponding angles are similar.

Proof 6. Let *ABCDE* and $A_1B_1C_1D_1E_1$ be two inscribed pentagons of equal corresponding angles (Fig. $8^{a,b}$):



FIGURE 8^a . Shows Lemma 6.

FIGURE 8^b . Shows Lemma 6.

To prove their similarity, let show first that $\triangle ABC \sim \triangle A_1B_1C_1$. It's given that $\measuredangle ABC = \measuredangle A_1B_1C_1$. Further: $\measuredangle EAC = 180^\circ - \measuredangle EDC = 180^\circ - \measuredangle E_1D_1C_1 = \measuredangle E_1A_1C_1$ i.e. $\measuredangle EAC = \measuredangle E_1A_1C_1$. Therefore $\measuredangle CAB = \measuredangle EAB - \measuredangle EAC = \measuredangle E_1A_1B_1 - \measuredangle E_1A_1C_1 = \measuredangle C_1A_1B_1$. We got two equal respective angles in $\triangle ABC$ and $\triangle A_1B_1C_1 \Rightarrow$ they are similar. The similarity of the other peripheral triangles of the pentagons may be analogically proven. Hence the pentagons are composed of equally placed similar triangles, so they are similar.

Lemma 7. Let ABCDE be an inscribed pentagon and X- a point in its plane and **not** on its circumcircle. There exists an inscribed pentagon \overline{ABCDE} (Fig. 9), the successive peripheral triangles of which are correspondingly similar to the pedal triangles of X with respect to the consecutive peripheral triangles of ABCDE.

Proof 7. We use the notations accepted in the beginning. First we construct the vertices \overline{C} , \overline{D} and \overline{E} of the required pentagon \overline{ABCDE} so that they coincide with the points B_0 , D_2 and A_0 (Fig. 9). Let k be the circumcircle of $\triangle \overline{CDE}$. On k we choose a point \overline{A} so that $\measuredangle \overline{ADE} = \measuredangle B_0 C_o E_2 = \measuredangle 1$. Since $\measuredangle \overline{EAD} = \measuredangle \overline{ECD}$ (inscribed angles) and $\measuredangle \overline{ECD} = \measuredangle 5 = \measuredangle E_2 B_0 C_0$ (according to Lemma 4), then $\measuredangle \overline{EAD} = \measuredangle \overline{ECD} = \measuredangle E_2 B_0 C_0 = \measuredangle 5$. Hence $\triangle \overline{ADE}$ and $\triangle E_2 B_0 C_0$ have two equal angles, and therefore they are similar. Let \overline{B} be a point on the circle k such that $\measuredangle \overline{BEA} = \measuredangle A_2 D_0 C_0 = \measuredangle 2$. As $\measuredangle \overline{EBA} = \measuredangle \overline{EDA}$ as inscribed angles, and $\measuredangle \overline{EDA} = \measuredangle 1 = \measuredangle D_0 C_0 A_2$, then $\measuredangle \overline{EBA} = \measuredangle D_0 C_0 A_2$. Hence $\triangle \overline{EBA}$ and $\triangle D_0 C_0 A_2$ have two equal angles, i.e. they are similar. To prove the lemma, it remains to prove that $\triangle \overline{ABC} \sim \triangle E_0 B_2 D_0$ and $\triangle CBD \sim \triangle E_0 A_0 C_2$.

$$A_0 D_2 B_0 = 180^\circ - \measuredangle \overline{EBC}$$
 and $\measuredangle E_2 C_0 B_0 = \measuredangle 1 = \measuredangle \overline{ADE} = \measuredangle \overline{ABE}$



FIGURE 9. Shows Lemma 7 and Theorem 4.

We substitute these equations in the above and get:

$$(180^{\circ} - \measuredangle \overline{EBC}) + \measuredangle E_0 B_2 D_0 = \measuredangle \overline{ABE} + 180$$

i.e.:

But ∢A

 $\checkmark \overline{ABE} + \checkmark \overline{EBC} = \checkmark E_0 B_2 D_0.$

But $<\overline{ABE} + <\overline{EBC} = <\overline{ABC}$, hence we get the wanted $<\overline{ABC} = <\overline{E_0B_2D_0} \Rightarrow$ the similarity $\triangle \overline{ABC} \sim \triangle E_0B_2D_0$ is proven. It remains to prove that $\triangle \overline{CBD} \sim \triangle E_0A_0C_2$. From the proven $\triangle \overline{ABC} \sim \triangle E_0B_2D_0$ we have $<\overline{CAB} = <D_0E_0B_2$. But $<D_0E_0B_2 = <A_0E_0C_2$ (from Lemma 4) $\Rightarrow <\overline{CAB} = <A_0E_0C_2$, so $<\overline{CDB} = <\overline{CAB} = <A_0E_0C_2$. Further: $<\overline{CBD} = <\overline{CED} = <4 = <E_0A_0C_2$. In $\triangle \overline{CDB}$ and $\triangle A_0E_0C_2$ we got two respectively equal angles \Rightarrow they are similar. This proves the lemma.

We can now formulate and prove the property in question of the peripheral triangles of Simpson's pentagon for an arbitrary point *X* in the plane of an inscribed pentagon:

Theorem 4. Any peripheral triangle of the Simpson's pentagon $A_1B_1C_1D_1E_1$ for a point X which lies *not* on the circumcircle of the inscribed pentagon ABCDE, is similar to the pedal Δ of X with respect to its conjugate Δ , peripheral of ABCDE (in our notation $\triangle A_1B_1C_1 \sim \triangle D_0B_2E_0$, $\triangle B_1C_1D_1 \sim \triangle A_0C_2E_0$, $\triangle C_1D_1E_1 \sim \triangle B_0D_2A_0$ (Fig. 7).

Proof: According to Lemma 7 there exists an inscribed pentagon \overline{ABCDE} , with peripheral triangles \overline{ABC} , \overline{BCD} , \overline{CDE} , etc. similar to the pedal triangles $D_0B_2E_0$, $A_0C_2E_0$, $B_0D_2A_0$, ... of X with respect to the peripheral triangles ABC, BCD, CDE, etc. of the given pentagon ABCDE (Fig. 9). Then the corresponding angles of $\Delta \overline{ABC}$, $\Delta \overline{BCD}$, $\Delta \overline{CDE}$, etc. and those of the pedal $\Delta D_0B_2E_0$, $\Delta A_0C_2E_0$, $\Delta B_0D_2A_0$, etc., are equal. Hence:

$$\measuredangle \overline{ABC} = \measuredangle D_0 B_2 E_0, \ \measuredangle \overline{BCD} = \measuredangle A_0 C_2 E_0, \ \measuredangle \overline{CDE} = \measuredangle B_0 D_2 A_0, \text{ etc.}$$

At the same time, for the Simpson's pentagon $A_1B_1C_1D_1E_1$ for the point X with respect to the pentagon ABCDE, according to Lemma 3, the following equations are satisfied (Fig. 7):

$$\measuredangle A_1 B_1 C_1 = \measuredangle D_0 B_2 E_0, \quad \measuredangle B_1 C_1 D_1 = \measuredangle A_0 C_2 E_0, \quad \measuredangle C_1 D_1 E_1 = \measuredangle B_0 D_2 A_0, \text{ etc.}$$

It follows from them that:

$$\not \overline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C} = \not A_1B_1C_1, \quad \not \overline{B}\overline{C}\overline{D} = \not A_1C_1D_1, \quad \not \overline{C}\overline{D}\overline{E} = \not A_1D_1E_1, \text{ etc}$$

We get that the inscribed pentagons ABCDE and $A_1B_1C_1D_1E_1$ have correspondingly equal angles, therefore they are similar (according to Lemma 6). Then their corresponding peripheral triangles are similar, i.e.:

 $\triangle \overline{A}\overline{B}\overline{C} \sim \triangle A_1 B_1 C_1, \quad \triangle \overline{B}\overline{C}\overline{D} \sim \triangle B_1 C_1 D_1, \quad \triangle \overline{C}\overline{D}\overline{E} \sim \triangle C_1 D_1 E_1, \text{ etc.}$

But the peripheral triangles \overline{ABC} , \overline{BCD} , \overline{CDE} , etc. of the pentagon \overline{ABCDE} are similar to the pedal triangles $D_0B_2E_0$, $A_0C_2E_0$, $B_0D_2A_0$ etc. of the point X with respect to the successive peripheral triangles of the pentagon ABCDE (according to Lemma 7), i.e.:

Then the similar (according to what we have just proved) peripheral triangles $A_1B_1C_1$, B_1C_1D , $C_1D_1E_1$ etc. of the Simpson's pentagon $A_1B_1C_1D_1E_1$ for the point X with respect to ABCDE will be similar to the pedal triangles $D_0B_2E_0$, $A_0C_2E_0$, $B_0D_2A_0$ etc. of the point X with respect to the successive peripheral triangles of the pentagon ABCDE, i.e. these similarities will hold:

This proves Theorem 4. We can now prove Theorem 3 (see it on p.1 and Fig. 3).

Proof of Theorem 3: The Simpson's circle k_B for the point X with respect to the pentagon *CDEFA*, passes through the pedal images F_B , $A_B \amalg C_B$ of the point X with respect to its peripheral quadrilaterals *ACDE*, *CDEF*, and *DEFA* (as defined by Simpson's circle) (Fig. 10). Similarly, Simpson's circle k_F for the point X with respect to the pentagon *ABCDE* passes through the pedal images A_F , $B_F \amalg C_F$ of X with respect to its peripheral quadrilaterals *BCDE*, *CDEA*, and *DEAB*. We get that both the points B_F and F_B are pedal images of X with respect to the quadrilateral *ACDE*, therefore $B_F = F_B$. Simpson's circle k_C for the point X, with respect to the pentagon *DEFAB*, passes through the pedal images F_C , A_C and B_C of X with respect to its peripheral quadrilaterals *ABDE*, *BDEF* and *DEFA*. We obtain that both the points F_C and C_F are pedal images of X with respect to the quadrilateral *ABDE*, and that the points B_C and C_B are pedal images of X with respect to the quadrilateral *ABDE* and that the points B_C and C_B are pedal images of X with respect to the quadrilateral *ABDE* and that the points B_C and C_B are pedal images of X with respect to the quadrilateral *ABDE*.

$$F_C = C_F$$
 and $B_C = C_B$.

Let A_0 , B_0 , F_0 , A_2 , B_2 and B_3 be the orthogonal projections of X on the lines AB, BC, AF, BF, AC and FC respectively. $C_BA_BF_B$ is the peripheral triangle of the Simpson's pentagon for X with respect to the pentagon ACDEF, and $F_0B_3B_2$ is the pedal triangle of X with respect to the conjugate peripheral triangle CAF of ACDEF. Then (according to Theorem 4) $\Delta C_BA_BF_B \sim \Delta F_0B_3B_2$ and then $\langle C_BA_BF_B = \langle F_0B_3B_2$. On the other hand, for the pedal $\Delta F_0B_3B_2$ of X with respect to ΔCAF by Lemma 1 angles: $\langle F_0B_3B_2 = \langle FXC - \langle FAC \rangle$. Therefore:

Analogically:

$$\ll C_F A_F B_F = \ll A_0 B_0 B_2 = \ll B X C - \ll B A C \tag{7}$$

and

$$\not < F_C A_C B_C = \not < A_0 A_2 F_0 = 360^\circ - \not < BXF - \not < BAF$$
(8)



FIGURE 10. Shows Theorem 3.

Now let Y be the second common point of the Simpson's circles k_B and k_F . Let prove that Y lies on k_C . Let P be an arbitrary point on the continuation of the segment F_BY . From the inscribed in k_F quadrilateral $YB_FA_FC_F \Rightarrow \ll F_CYP = \ll C_FA_FB_F$, and from the inscribed $YF_BA_BC_B$ in $k_B \Rightarrow \ll B_CYP = \ll C_BA_BF_B$. Hence, using also the equations (6) – (8), we obtain:

i.e.:

$$\blacktriangleleft F_C YB_C = \blacktriangleleft F_C A_C B_C$$

Hence the points F_C , Y, A_C , B_C are concyclic, i.e. Y lies on the circle defined by F_C , A_C , B_C , but that's the Simpson's circle k_C . Therefore the Simpson's circles k_C , k_B and k_F have a common point Y. Analogously, the circles k_A , k_F and k_C have a common point. Since it is a common point of k_F and k_C , it coincides with Y. Therefore $Y \in k_A \cap k_F \cap k_C \cap k_B$. In the same way k_A , k_F and k_D have a common point. Since it is a common point of $k_A \cap k_F \cap k_C \cap k_B$. In the same way k_A , $k_F \cap k_C \cap k_B \cap k_C \cap k_B$. Finally, we similarly obtain that the circles k_C , k_B and k_E have a common point. Since it is a common point of $k_C \cap k_B \cap k_C$. Finally, we similarly obtain that the circles k_C , k_B and k_E have a common point. Since it is a common point of k_C and k_B , it is Y. Therefore $Y \in k_A \cap k_F \cap k_C \cap k_B \cap k_C \cap k_B \cap k_C$.

Definition 3. Let X be a point on the plane of the inscribed hexagon ABCDEF, not on its circumcircle. The common point Y of Simpson's circles for X with respect to the peripheral pentagons of ABCDEF we call the pedal image of X with respect to ABCDEF (Fig. 3).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the Research and Development Sector at the Technical University of Sofia for the financial support.

REFERENCES

- V. N. Nenkov, St. T. Stefanov and H. M. Haimov. Configurations of pedal circles of an arbitrary point in the plane of a polygon (Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia, Issue № 3 - 2020) pp. 313-323, ISSN 1310-2230 [in Bulgarian].
- V. N. Nenkov, J. N. Ivanov, St. T. Stefanov and H. M. Haimov, Relations between three transformations in the plane of an arbitrary quadrilateral, Proceedings of the Scientific Conference with International Participation MATTECH, October 22-24, 2020, pp. 49-56, Shumen University "Bishop Konstantin Preslavski" – FMI – FTN, Shumen, ISSN 1314-3921 [in Bulgarian].
- 3. H. M. Haimov, A statement about the concurrence of pedal circles of a point in the plane of a quadrilateral (Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia, Issue № 1 for 2019) pp. 76-89, ISSN 1310-2230 [In Bulgarian].
- 4. V. N. Nenkov, St. T. Stefanov and H. M. Haimov, Geometry of quadrilateral, Miquel point, Inverse isogonality (Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia, Issue № 1 for 2017), pp. 81-93 [in Bulgarian].
- S. Iv. Grozdev, For High Achievements in Mathematics: The Bulgarian Experience (Theory and Practice). (ADE, Sofia, 2007) (ISBN 978-954-92139-1-1).
- 6. S. Iv. Grozdev and V. N. Nenkov. Fans of circles in inscribed polygons (Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia, Issue № 2 for 2017) pp. 181-201 [in Bulgarian].
- 7. V. N. Nenkov, Mathematical competence increase through dynamic geometry (*Archimedes 2000*, Sofia, 2020) ISBN 978-954-779-291-3 [in Bulgarian].
- 8. T. F. Sergeeva, M. V. Shabanova and S. Iv. Grozdev, Foundation of dynamic geometry (*ASOU*, Moscow, 2014) ISBN: 978-5-91543-140-8 [in Russian].
- N. Hr. Pavlova, Dr. V. Marchev and Kr. V. Harizanov, The GEOTHNK project (Proceedings of the *Scientific Conference* MATTEX 2014 of Shumen's University "Episkop Konstantin Preslavsky", Shumen, Vol. 1, 2014), pp. 245-249 [in Bulgarian]: https://docplayer.bg/151451133-geothnk-pr.html (accessed 03.07.2022).
- N. Hr. Pavlova, Didactic Game "Possible Cross Sections" (Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia, Issue № 4 for 2020), pp. 391-397 – URL: https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=894166&fbclid=IwAR0JotJpLKi5DmPS_A0NG8_6500n7YCdg-QbO3smUSeHNXkPhB7W6jPObw (Last accessed on 03.07.2022).
- 11. N. Hr. Pavlova, Ideas for geometrical modelling when solving combinatorial problems (Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia, Issue № 2 for 2019), pp. 194-202 [in Bulgarian] URL: https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=760970 (Last accessed on 03.07.2022).
- 12. R. N. Nikolaev, T. V. Milkova and K. Chalakova. Combinatorial problems related to a triangle (Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia, Issue № 5 for 2018), pp. 506–517 [In Bulgarian].